

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Aggregate Industries UK Ltd	_ PG Note:	PG3/01
Discussed with:	Mr Gareth Sturges	_LA Reference:	B02/02

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 22/06/2009

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	cores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10		10
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors		<u>.</u>	
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Medium	Lov
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	θ	0	0

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0	points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident	t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50) 0		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion	Possible Scores Sco		Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) O		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Cuitavian		Possible Scores		Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	Đ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 10	05	-5

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	17		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Current monitoring and maintenance records kept on site but historical records kept at
Wellingborough. Procedures available to staff and updated on a regular basis. Training records
kept on electronic basis. Design layout has changed but no variation required.