

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Potton Limited	PG No	te: <u>PC</u>	<b>G6/0</b> 2	2	
Discussed with:	Bob Bown	LA Reference	:e: <u>B</u> C	<b>)2/0</b> 1		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: <u>31/01/2012</u>				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score warded		
(A) Category 1		10			10	
(B) Category 2		20				
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		4	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	s and by	' a fao	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70		22	

## Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion		ssible Sco		Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	Ð	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) <b>0</b>		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Critorian	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5				

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	17		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		LOW
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	

## Comments

Downgraded component 3 as only 3 properties fall within the 100m boundary to the external

abatement system. Daily checks on all dust extraction systems taken with scoring on amount of

dust in area (although scoring doesn't reflect dust escaping out of buildings), some issues with

extraction machines not being turned on or bags being left until full and not being changed. Also

annual check on extraction systems undertaken with external company. Maintenance undertaken

by onsite team following daily checks of any issues highlighted. All machine/staff has full

procedures/risk assessments and training which is updated regularly. Company has ISO14001

accreditation.