

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Potton Limited	PG Note:	PG6/02
Discussed with:	Bob Bown/ L Price	LA Reference:	B02/01
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass/ L Brown	_ Date:	03/12/2009

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	cores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10		10
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors		<u>.</u>	
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Medium	Low
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	er incident	t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	ıt	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		ıt	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot k	oe clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro	ecords			T
Criterion	Possible Se		ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score		(-5 to 30))	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores Score		Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
		(-5 to 25)		
Total		(0 10 20)		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	25

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	25
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site still undergoing checks on extraction equipment plus each machine has written maintenance
records. Site still using personal monitors on site staff. Procedures still in place and staff have
training records. Site has completed it's ISO 14001 accreditation. Site has increased equipment
on site and permit requires varying due to "owner" change.