

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: K Cooper Motors PG Note: PG1/01

Discussed with: Mrs J Cooper LA Reference: B01/98

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 27/11/2009

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.				
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	40	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0	0
(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been serviced regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to inspection?	0	5	0	5
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	5
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	40	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 35)			10

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Are clear instructions available at all times on or near the appliance detailing the correct operation and maintenance of the equipment?	0	5	0	0
(B) Are nominated members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the appliance?	0	5	0	0
(C) Is the list of staff permitted to operate the appliance available?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all nominated SWOB operating staff trained in, and conversant with, its operation? (Staff operating vapourising burners should be particularly conversant with the correct procedure for lighting from cold)	0	5	0	0
(E) Are staff nominated to operate the appliance on site while the appliance is in use?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110			0

Overall scores	Score given
<i>Operator Performance Appraisal</i>	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	10
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	10

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	10
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Complaint has been received of deposits coming from SWOB but as yet not confirmed. SWOB has recently been maintained including cleaning of chimney but no record has been kept. Only one member of staff is authorised to operate the SWOB although no formal training been given as "learnt" how to use it before regulated, however, staff went through procedures for start up. DB advised that there is some "dark smoke" when the SWOB is first lit but soon dissipates.