

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Hanson Aggregates	PG Note:	PG3/15	
Discussed with:	Mr Hilton Law	_LA Reference:	B02/97	
nspector's Name:	Dave Bass/ Janette Brettell	Date:	21/07/2011	

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10				
(B) Category 2	20 2		20		
(C) Category 3	30				
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading	·				
Status of Upgrading	Possible S	Possible Scores		s Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	<del>-10</del>				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensit	tivity of R	ecep	tors	
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m	Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>-</sup>	<del>20</del>	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>	<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>-</sup>	5	3		4	
(D) >500m*	θ	0		0	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of O famous and and a second	0 "				

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## **Component 4 - Other Targets**

The state of the s		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance Possible Scores			Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition		0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	t		
Total	(N	(Max. 50)			0
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cann	ot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro	ı				
Criterion		sible Sco	1		Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	•	)	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	C	)	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	C	)	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	ę	)	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	(	)	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	€	)	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) <b>0</b>		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion				Scores	
- Chichon	Yes	No	N/	Ά	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	€	)	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	€	)	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	€	)	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	Ç	)	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	<del>5</del>	€	)	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	€	)	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10 (130)	)5		-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Last emission test showed that levels were still below emission limit. Dust control measures
include keeping dusty materials in covered bays, water spray facilities, mixing undertaken in a
building and clearing up spillages. No dust could be seen escaping off site. Each staff member
has their own roles and responsibilities within the site. Maintenance undertaken either by staff
members or contractors depending on the circumstances with records kept. Full training records
available for staff. Company has ISO14001 accreditation.