

40

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	David Smith St Ives Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/02 & PG1/12
Discussed with:	Mr Simon Wadsworth	LA Reference:	B09/94
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	06/02/2014

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20 20		20	
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	ъ			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [°]	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [°]	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 fo
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot k	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1			1	
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) O		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission test being undertaken during inspection. Initial impressions from tester that emissions will

be fine. Records kept of maintenance and visual monitoring of timber and combustion processes.

The coating plant still uses under 5 tonnes of solvent in a 12 month period so does not require

permitting.