

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

PG6/02 &

Name of permitted activity: David Smith St Ives Ltd PG Note: PG1/12

Steve Smith LA Reference: B09/94 Discussed with:

Inspector's Name: D Bass H Nicholson Date: 09/02/2012

Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded
10			
20 30			20
Possible Scores		cores Score Awarded	
5			
10			
0			0
-10			
Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		
High	Mediu	ım	Low
20	12		5
12	10		3
5	3		1
0	0		0
	10 20 30 Possible S 5 40 0 -40 Sensit High 20 42 5	10 20 30 Possible Scores 5 10 0 -10 Sensitivity of R High Mediu 20 12 12 10 5 3	Possible Scores

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	40

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Level	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				
Criterion		ssible Sco	ores	Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score		(-5 to 30))	0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total		(-5 to 25))	-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission test being undertaken during inspection. No evidence of dark smoke being emitted from
stack. Daily checks and maintenance undertaken and recorded. Extraction system repaired (see
previous inspection). Paint plant and preservative plant uses solvent free materials. Company
doesn't yet have ISO14001 but does actively look into reducing their emissions/wastes.