## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	David Smith St Ives Ltd	PG Note	e: <u>PG</u>	6/02	2 & 1/12
Discussed with:	Jeremy Warren	LA Reference	e: <u>B0</u>	9/94	1
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date	e: <u>31/</u>	/03/2	2010
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores Score Awarde		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Pr	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium Lov		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		20	<del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	θ		0
combustion, incineration (not creme	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Sc	cores		Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental Im	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70 40		40	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			Marada	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		:		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
*Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Oritoria		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5		<u> </u>		

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5	
Total score	35	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Emissions monitoring being undertaken at time of inspection. Daily checks around site still being
undertaken and recorded. Procedures in place on how to use the machines and proactive
maintenance of machines recorded.