

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Linx	PG Note:6/44		6/44		
Discussed with:	Martin & Adrian	LA Referen	ce:		B04/94	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 2 July 200		July 2008		
Environmental Impact A	appraisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Enviro						
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		20		20		
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with I	Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Δ	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but F	PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete a	and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and mee	ets BAT Requirements	0 (		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds B	AT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and	Proximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium I		Low		
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		<del>5</del>	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	0 0		0	
combustion, incineration (not cre	lied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & mation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc sured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems i contributor	n the local area to which process is a potential	10				
(B) No such air pollution problem	ns	0			0	
Total Score for Environmental	Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	to 70		32	

**Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points		,	- Twaraca	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint (B)		5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	ecution 15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unre linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
Criterion		ssible Sco	ores	Scores	
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	5	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)				
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterian		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	9	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)				

-5

Range -10 to 105

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Records are not kept of maintenance schedules and works. Currently an experienced team where
everyone knows their duties.