

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Products Ltd	PG Not	te: PC	G6/20	6	
Discussed with:	Marc Duncan	LA Referenc	e: <u>B</u>)3/94	l	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 20/01/2012			2012	
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	sk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		20			20	
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0		
D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source	Proximity to Emission Source		Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement a ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		A	Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70 32		32		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	e of Non-Compliance Possible Score		ores Scores	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	reasonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	6	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1			1
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	θ	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0			0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Chterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25) 0			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	0

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

New filter still not fitted and emission test will be booked in once this has been completed. New

millers not quite working as planned, no other changes planned. Procedures and training in place.

Changing the maintenance programme to a paper version as electronic not working efficiently

enough.