

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Products Ltd	PG Not		6/26 6/27	
Discussed with:		LA Referenc	:e: B0	3/94	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass				
Inspector 5 Name.		Date: 06/08/2009			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential	1		T	
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		<del>10</del>			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	ograding				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PC	G Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	s BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	T Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors		tors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High Mediu		ım	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	ed by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & hation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro ired from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>	<del>10</del>		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal		Range 0 to 70		32	

## Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			5	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			5	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	1)	Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	θ	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0				
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Critorian	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) 0				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal Range -10 to 105 (130)		)5	5		

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	5		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0		
Total score	37		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Emissions monitoring has previously indicated a potential exceedence at one of the outlets which is

abated by a cyclone. The company has agreed to source and install a bag filter to reduce

emissions and dust. HDC has received complaints regarding dust. Company has installed a new

maintenance package which records all maintenance undertaken and includes future schedules.

Staff routinely report any defects spotted in daily report. Procedures have been produced and will

be reviewed when fire alarm system is completely installed. Training records are kept and updated

when required.