

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Glynwed Pipe Systems	PG No	te: PG	62/9		
Discussed with:	Mr Eric Cross	LA Reference	e: <u>B</u> 2	2/93	6	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Da	Date: 29/04/2014			
Environmental Impact Ap	nraisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		<del>10</del>				
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>				
(C) Category 3		30		30		
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading	-				
Status of Upgrading	Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible S	Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0 0		0		
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70		37	

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot k	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1			1	
Criterion	Possible Scores		1	Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) <b>0</b>		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5				

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	37

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

The plant isn't being used as much due to changes to product so cleaning not required as often;

the plant cycle time is less as well so gas usage has gone down. Plant undertaking its annual

monitoring during inspection with maintenance (external contractor) undertaken early April (full

service required in 2015). Maintenance schedule is determined by hours worked. Only a very few

number of employees use the plant (currently 3). Procedures still not fully developed.