

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Darex UK Ltd	PG Not		G6/28 G6/4		
Discussed with:	Mr Glenn Draper	LA Reference	LA Reference: B19/93		3	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: <u>11/10/201</u> 2		2012		
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential			T		
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>				
(C) Category 3		30	30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	ograding					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	S Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		<del>10</del>				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		<del>-10</del>				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensiti	vity of F	Recep	otors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium L		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		20	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		1	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	and by	' a fao	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to	o 70		50	

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	lent leading to a justified complaint 5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	r				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	θ	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				·	
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5				

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	45

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	45
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Emissions testing planned for that week. Full maintenance schedule for all plant available and

company have employed a new maintenance operative (Steve). Operating procedures in place for

all aspects of production and staff are trained in these and records kept. Company has ISO14001

but considering changing to a different system. A new dust monitor has been installed in the

Banbury line and waiting for PCME to calibrate the system. A new mixing vessel has been

installed which has decreased both operating (mixing) time and energy usage.