

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	PG	Note:	PG3/16				
Discussed with:	Mr Russell Saywell	LA Refe	rence:	B13/93	3		
Inspector's Name:	Aaron Morley	Date: <u>13</u>			3/12/2011		
Operator Performance Ap	praisal						
Component 1 - Compliance Assess	sment						
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possi	ble Score	s	Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified co condition or of general/residual BAT of	mplaint but no breach of specific permit condition	0	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified com	plaint [*]	5 pe	r incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to fo	10 pe	10 per incident					
(D) Incident leading to formal caution	, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition N	20 pe	er incident					
Total	(M	ax. 50)		0			
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. t linked to an incident at the process.	hose considered by the inspector to be unrea	asonable d	or which ca	annot be	clearly		
Where facility has been on Reduce	d Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels				
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or reabove the threshold requiring a perm acceptance letter		25					
Total (applies only when condition	(Max 75)						
Scoring for Component 2 - Assess	ment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Red	ords					
Criterion		Possible Scores			Scores		
		Yes					
(A) Are emissions monitored as requi Are emissions and emissions monitor	red in the permit? ring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0		
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced compliance?	because results over time show consistent	0	0	0	0		
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance so	hedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0		
(D) Fully documented and adhered permit?	I to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0		
(E) Full documented records as requi	red in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0		
(F) Has the operator notified the regu	lator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	0		
Total score		(-5 to 35)					

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility							
Contractions		Possible Scores					
Criterion	Yes	Awarded					
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0			
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0			
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0			
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0			
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0			
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0			
Total	(-5 to 25)			0			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110 (135)			0			

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Comments

	 		 	 _	

The crusher was located in the south of the site next to a stock pile of concrete (yet to be crushed).

I was informed that the stockpile will not be crushed until a buyer if found for the product.

The crusher had only been used once during this year.