

0

0

5

10

(0 to 35)

0

0

0

0

0

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Hope Construction Materials	PG Note:	PG3/01(12)	
Discussed with:	Mr Barry Hewitt	LA Reference:	B05/93	

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 23/07/2014

regulator on request?

Total score

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		:	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion -		Possible Scores		
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(C) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in			 	1

relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the

(E) All relevant notifications forwarded to the authority by date required?

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	5	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 30) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -5 to 115 (130) -5		-5	

Overall scores	Score given	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Component 1: Compliance Assessment	0	
Component 2: Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance	0	
Component 3: Assessment of Management, Training ar	-5	
Total score	-5	
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

New plant batcher since last inspection. Training record is still being completed as and when required (company operates on an important issue first and works to cover the entire business model). Daily records are kept and includes any defects which are recorded both on the daily record sheet and on the computerised log (which is accessed by management and maintenance) to be actioned. Company procedures/risk assessments available online and specific jobs are created on site depending on the need. Company has ISO14001. Question raised over tree belt which as long as they are stable and do not impede any permit conditions then there are no issues which EH would have any problems with.