

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Marshall Mono	PG Note:	3/1(04) + 3/16(04)
Discussed with:	Dave Harrison, Michelle Chamberlain & Mark Everest	LA Reference:	B02/93
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	02/12/08

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	10
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
A		Score

Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors

	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Medium	Low
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m°	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	0	0	0

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 pe	er incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 pe	er incident		
Total	(Max. 50)		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Pos	ssible Sco	ores	Scores
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	- 5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores Score		Scores	
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
()	(-5 to 25) -5			

-5

Range -10 to 105

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	10
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	15

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	15
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site keeps records of maintenance (both operator and contract), daily dust emissions and training.
Old records are archived if required. Site asked if they could install a camera to assess silo
filtration and if their frequency could be reduced . Site questioned about land they acquired from
Hanson and putting it as part of their process boundary but have no plans to install any new
equipment. Site has ISO14001 and has procedures in place for working practices including
emergency events like spillages.