Huntingdonshire

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet

Name of authorised process	Marshalls Mono Limited	PG Note	3/1(04) + 3/16(04)	
Name of operator	Dean Thurgood	LA Reference	2/93	
Inspector's Name	Aaron Morley	Date	2/2/05	

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded 10	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20	·	
(C) Category 3	30	-	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	-	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10		

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m°	12		3	
(C) 250 - 500m	5	3	1	
(D) >500m*	0	0	0	

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20

REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40

Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		8	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points			A70.00	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 pe	er incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 pe	er incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* <u>Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unilinked to an incident at the process.</u>	reasonab	le or which	h cannot	be clearly	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords		·		
		Possible Scores		Score	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?		10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0		0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?		5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?		5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	1	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5		0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	ı	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?		5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?		5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?		5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	İ	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?		0	0	-5	
	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total			Range -10 to 105		
Total Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10	5	-5	

LOW, MED, HIGH

LOW