

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Boardcraft Ltd	PG Note	: <u>PG</u>	6/02
Discussed with:	Mr Bill Chambers	LA Reference	: <u>B0</u>	6/92
Inspector's Name:	Aaron Morley	Date	Date: 20/03/2014	
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal			
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	A) Category 1			10
(B) Category 2	3) Category 2			
(C) Category 3	C) Category 3			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading			
Status of Upgrading		Possible Sco	Possible Scores Scores Award	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PC	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	- 10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors			
		Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	High Medium Lov	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	20 12	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3	1
(D) >500m*		θ θ		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement a ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	and by a	a factor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets				
		Possible Sco	Possible Scores Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	¹ 10	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems 0			0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to	70	30

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		ıt		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		ıt		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 50)			N/A	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	i cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	· ·	Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1				
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	0	
Total		(-5 to 25)		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	05	0	

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0	
Total score	30	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Comments

Mr Chambers has created a new log book of visual assessments to include the new plant.

He has written a new Statement for Boardcraft (dated 15th March 2014). Checked ok.

We noted that Unit 16 has 3 non-wood machines connected to an externally sited local exhaust

ventilation system which does not need to be included in the permit.

Mr Chambers provided me with new plans of the machine locations and exhaust systems -

but this incorrectly showed the table saw in Unit 15 connected to the bag filters.

We made some rough changes to the Description of Activity and Conditions of the Permit.