

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Boardcraft Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/02
Discussed with:	Bill Chambers	LA Reference:	B06/92
Inspector's Name:	Aaron Morley	Date:	26/01/2012

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible	Possible Scores	
(A) Category 1	10		10
(B) Category 2	20	20	
(C) Category 3	30	30	
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		·	
Status of Upgrading	Possible	Possible Scores S Aw	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	-10	
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors		·	
	Sens	Sensitivity of Receptors	
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Medium	Low
(A) < 100m ⁻	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	1
(D) >500m*	Ð	θ	Ð
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cemen combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the	processes.	es and by a	factor of 4
Component 4 - Other Targets			

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			-	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	-	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		ıt	-	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		ıt	-	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	-	
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	reasonabl	e or which	i cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operat	ing Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25 -		-		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		-	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1				
Criterion	Pos	ssible Sco	ores	Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) O		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	•				
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) O				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	0	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	30

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

The plant layout in Unit 16 has changed considerably since the last Variation.

All wood working machines are now connected to the external dust extraction units.

A "skills graph" is being compiled to document and encourage staff training and competencies.

Daily visual dust observations have been consistent and well documented in the past.

However, recently they appear to have lapsed slightly with no justification.

There is a rolling programme to clean the interior walls from long-term dust build up.

The filters in Unit 16 door was found open. It must be closed to reduce dust (and noise) emissions.

The permit will be varied to reflect the new layout and potential condition changes.