

## Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: East Anglian Galvanizing Ltd PG Note: IPPC SG5

Mr RDuxbury Mr P Bayford LA Reference: A09/09 Discussed with:

Mr D Bass Miss H Nicholson Inspector's Name: Date: 15/11/2011

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Category 1	10	10			
(B) Category 2	20	20 20		20	
(C) Category 3	30	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible S	Possible Scores		res Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m	Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>	<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>	12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>	5	3		1	
(D) >500m*	θ	0		0	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and coment	8 limo processo	s and by	a fac	tor of 1	

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	it		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	it		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 50)		0		
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrilinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Level	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion		ssible Sco	ores	Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score		(-5 to 30)	)	0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total		(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	)5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

No breakdowns of any air pollutant or any spillages on site. Surface water testing undertaken in
summer but considering moving it to the winter due low water levels and vegetation obstruction.
Maintenance records kept with air pollution areas checked in week 44. Company has their own
EMS system which is sufficient and site specific. All employees are trained are trained.