

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Kingspan Timber Solutions

Name of permitted activity: Limited PG Note: N/A

Claire Roberts, Ray Ward &

Andrew Knibbs Discussed with: LA Reference: A14/15

Claire Braybrook **Inspector's Name:** Date: 27/11/2018

Possible Scores			Score warded
10			
20			20
30			
Possible Scores		ores Score Awarded	
5			
10			
0			0
-10			
Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		
High	Mediu	m	Low
20	12		5
12	10		3
5	3		4
0	0		θ
	10 20 30 Possible S 5 40 0 -40 Sensit High 20 42 5	10 20 30 Possible Scores 5 40 0 -40 Sensitivity of R High Mediu 20 12 42 40 5 3	Possible Scores

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 p	10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		20 per incident		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	canno	t be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	1)	Max 80)		0	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score	
Cherion	Yes	No	N/A	Award	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)			0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Score	
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Award	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5	
			05		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

There are now 3 trained employees for the treatment plant; the treatment manufacture refresher training was completed by an external company on 17th October 2017 and is valid for 3 years. A risk assessment is completed every year and more often if required. Condition 3.6.1 requires an assessment of the raw material, energy and fuel consumption, emissions and waste production associated with the installation to be completed by July 2019. Regarding condition 3.7 – all waste goes to the hazardous waste area. 3.8.6 – all containers for potentially environmentally hazardous material are fitted with float valves to continuously monitor the level of the contents.

Operators regularly check to ensure no treatment liquid is being tracked out of the process area, and including photographs. Company has ISO14001.