

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Clark-Drain Limited	SG Not	te: SO	<b>3</b> 5	
Discussed with:	Mr Julian Broderick	LA Referenc	e: <u>A1</u>	2/92	2
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date: 29/03/2018			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential			1	
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		<del>30</del>			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors	-			
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>20</del> <del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores		A	Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	<del>10</del>		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 te	o 70		32

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition					
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action 10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	()	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			1	
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	Ð	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	Ð	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)		)	-5	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
Cheron	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 1205 (150)			-10	
		(130)			

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	22

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	22
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

-5 has been awarded for component 6B as previous officer agreed to a reduction in monitoring

requirements due to continued substantial compliance.

Daily checks and monthly servicing and maintenance log seen - no issues noted.

Process observed – no issues noted. Water nozzle use has continued, however will be re-visited.

Lighting replacement has been completed, updating to LED lower power consumption units

throughout the plant and yard area.