

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clark-Drain Limited	SG Note	: <u>SG5</u>	
Discussed with:	Mr Darren Hughes	LA Reference	: <u>A12/9</u>	2
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date	Date: 14/02/2017	
Environmental Impact Ap	ppraisal			
Component 1 - Inherent Environ				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Sco	Possible Scores Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10	10	
(B) Category 2		20	20 20	
(C) Category 3		30	30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	ograding		_	
Status of Upgrading		Possible Sco	Possible Scores Score Awarde	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PC	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meet	s BAT Requirements	0	0 0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	T Requirements	-10	-10	
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors			
		Sensitiv	ity of Rece	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium	Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3	4
(D) >500m*		0	0	0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cem ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous mea red from the process itself, rather than	tal processes.	and by a fa	actor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets				

Component 4 Cities rangete		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ble Score	es		Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
*Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrilinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cann	ot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(N	/lax 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Pos	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/	Ά	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	€)	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	C)	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	C)	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	€)	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	€)	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	€)	0
Total score		(-5 to 45)			0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion		Possible Scores			Scores
Cinerion	Yes	No	N/	Ά	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	C)	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	C)	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	C)	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	C)	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	C)	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	C)	-5
Total		(-5 to 25)			-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 12 (150)	05		-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission test being undertaken during inspection. No progress yet for testing when water nozzle
not in operation to see if it meets emission limits as operator still thinks this causes spikes in the
automatic monitoring readings. The re-sealing of process tanks has been completed and still on-
going on replacement for low energy lighting. The zinc recycler is still operational and making
considerable savings on zinc procurement. Chromate is now not being used on site. 2016 audits
reviewed onsite.