

<del>10</del>

0

Range 0 to 70

0

32

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Clark-Drain Limited	PG Not	te: <u>SC</u>	<del>3</del> 5	
Discussed with:	Mr Darren Hughes	LA Referenc	e: <u>A1</u>	2/92	<u>!</u>
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 06/11/2012			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20 20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems	in the local area to which process is a				

potential contributor

(B) No such air pollution problems

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	t 0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Level	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Oritorias		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Scores Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		Range -10 to 105 (130)		-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Planning on installing a heated degreasing tank and low fume flux tank (non substantial variation
required). Emissions monitoring being undertaken during inspection. All daily checks, audits,
continuous monitoring, maintenance, procedures and training undertaken as per permit conditions
and available on site. Company still looking at ISO14001 but galvanizing plant already operates a
department only EMS and makes changes where possible. Powder coating still undertaken and
increasing but current usage under limit and controls set up similar to galvanizing plant.