Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clark-Drain Limited	PG Not	:e: <u>SG</u>	3 5		
Discussed with:	Darren Hughes	LA Referenc	e: <u>A1</u>	2/92	2	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Dat	Date: 28/07/2010		2010	
Environmental Impact Ap	nraisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ						
APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Score		cores	ores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		20	20		20	
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium Lov		Low		
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	θ		0	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible So	cores A		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal		Range 0 to 70		32		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	mit 0 points			Awaraca	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			T	
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Fotal Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

The new building is complete and are now galvanizing in the area. There is a lot more space and
working conditions appear to be a lot better with less concentration of odours which should prevent
odours escaping off site. New works have shown improvements with salt & acid use along with
less ash being used. Procedures/records production are ongoing but what has been produced is
more than acceptable. Query regarding powder coating and possible paint spraying being linked
with environmental permit.