

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	East Anglian Galvanizing Ltd	SG Note:	SG5
Discussed with:	Mr Mark Hammond	_LA Reference:	A09/09
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	08/08/2017

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	Possible Scores Scor		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			20
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Bossible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0 (		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediur	n	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>	20	<del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>	<del>12</del>	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		0

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## Component 4 - Other Targets

Component Comercial Series		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ble Score	es		Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0	points			
B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	permit not leading to formal action 10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
*Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrilinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cann	ot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(N	/lax 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Pos	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/	Ά	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	<b>0</b> 40 0		0		
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	C	)	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>10</del>	C	)	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	€	)	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	€	)	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	€	)	0
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores				Scores
Cinerion	Yes	No	N/	Ά	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	C	)	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	C	)	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	C	)	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	C	)	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	C	)	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	C	)	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 12 (150)	05		-5

Overall scores Score		
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5	
Total score	20	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

The operator is currently changing the exhaust stack to introduce a separate exhaust for the
galvanizing bath (the original one was not to their design). No complaints have been received
about the site and no breaches were evident during the inspection. All records (checks,
maintenance, training, procedures) are kept on their electronic management system. The company
have their own environmental management system.