

Range 0 to 70

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Latta Farms Ltd	PG Note:	N/A
Discussed with:	Mr Mathew Latta	LA Reference:	A19/17
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date:	19/03/2020

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarde			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>	<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		<del>5</del>
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>	<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prov Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a faci	tor of 4 fo
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0	

## Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 55)			
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Gitterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)			
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25)			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 12 (150)	05	<u>.</u>

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	
Progress with Upgrading	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	
Other Targets	
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	

## Comments

Risk assessment hasn't been completed as the process is not operational. Owners hoping the process will be running in July, following commissioning.

Discussed conditions within the permit and completed site visit. Fuel store is enclosed, and wood will be coming in shredded ready to burn.

Heavy ash will be stored in a covered skip. This will be tested to determine if it can go to land or if it will have to go to landfill. Fly ash will be stored in a separate bin and treated in the same manner as the heavy ash.

There may be an increase in annual throughput as the source of the wood has changed, resulting in a lower calorific value. This will be confirmed when known.

Stack is approx. 11m high.

No issues noted on site. Requirements of Permit and record keeping discussed.

Operators to make contact when commissioning.