

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Terrex PL1060	PG	Note:	PG3/1	6(12)
Discussed with:	Philip Hales	LA Refe	rence:	B17/17	7
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook		Date:	22/01/	2019
Operator Performance A	nnraisal				
Component 1 - Compliance Asses					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possil	ble Score	s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified c condition or of general/residual BAT	omplaint but no breach of specific permit condition	0	points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified cor	nplaint [*]	5 pe	r incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to f	ormal action	10 pe	er incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal cautio	n, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition	Notice	20 pe	20 per incident		
Total		(M	(Max. 50)		0
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. linked to an incident at the process.	those considered by the inspector to be unre	asonable o	r which ca	annot be	clearly
Where facility has been on Reduc	ed Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels		
	estart or increase in level of operation to nit at the installation in accordance with the		25		
Total (applies only when conditio	n F has been breached)	(Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 2 - Asses	sment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion		Possible Scores			Scores
		Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as requal Are emissions and emissions monitor	uired in the permit? oring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduce compliance?	d because results over time show consistent	0	θ	0	0
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance s	chedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhere permit?	d to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as requ	uired in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) Has the operator notified the reg	ulator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	-5
Total soors			/ E to 25\		E

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterian	Possible Scores			Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110 (135) -10				

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-10

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	-10
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Plant not seen in operation. Procedures and record keeping discussed with operator. Dust suppression is present on all crushers. Daily defect sheet is completed by the operator every day when the crusher is in use. Operators keep a daily record of monitoring emissions. Documentation checked by Philip.

The Operatives are trained in the use of the plant and also have NPORS &/or CPCS.

Mick George Ltd has ISO14001.