

<del>10</del>

0

Range 0 to 70

0

42

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activi	ty: VIP Polymers Ltd	PG No	PG Note: <u>PG6/28</u>		
Discussed with:	Neil & Dan	LA Referen	_A Reference: B11/94		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Da	Date: _15/11/2016		
Environmental Impact	Appraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Envi	ronmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible S	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10	10		
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>	20		
(C) Category 3		30	30 30		30
Component 2 - Progress with	n Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible S	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete bu	t PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete	e and PG Note deadline has passed	10	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and m	eets BAT Requirements	0	0 0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds	BAT Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity an	d Proximity of Receptors				
		Sensi	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	е	High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		0
combustion, incineration (not c	iplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cemer remation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal rasured from the process itself, rather than the	processes.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets	3				
		Possible S	cores	4	Score Awarded
				1	

(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a

potential contributor

(B) No such air pollution problems

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit production or of general/residual BAT condition		points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	Breach of permit not leading to formal action 10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution 15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Pos	Possible Scores		Scores	
Cheffon	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	-5	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)		-5		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10	)5	-10	

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5	
Total score	32	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Emission test is imminent. Company have a system on the Banbury line which detects any
exceedances and faults e.g. split filters so repairs can made immediately. There are some new
plants on site, including plastic extrusion. All these have been linked up to the extractor system.
All procedures are being reviewed and updated. Maintenance is undertaken on plant and the way
in which maintenance is being undertaken is also being reviewed due to new personnel. No major
changes in personnel at company and training is undertaken on plant. Company is currently
merging several ISO standards into one.