

0

Range 0 to 70

0

42

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	VIP Polymers Ltd	PG Note	e: <u>PG</u>	66/28	3
Discussed with:	Nick Lucken	LA Reference	e: <u>B1</u>	1/94	ļ
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 04/09/2012		2012	
Environmental Impact An	nraical				
Environmental Impact Ap Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2	20				
(C) Category 3		30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached		5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	rading complete and meets BAT Requirements				0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Low		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Sc	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10			

(B) No such air pollution problems

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice Total **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	5 pe 10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M asonable		t t t t cannot	Scores Awarded 0 be clearly
condition or of general/residual BAT condition (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint* (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice Total * Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	5 pe 10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er incident er inciden er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which ng Levels	t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	0
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice Total **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er inciden er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which ng Levels	t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice Total **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which ng Levels	t t cannot	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice Total **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	20 pe (Masonable	er inciden lax. 50) e or which ng Levels	t cannot	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	(Masonable	lax. 50) e or which ng Levels	cannot	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreast linked to an incident at the process. Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	asonable Operation	or which		
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	Operatii	ng Levels		be clearly
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	(N	25	S	
above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter				
		lax 75)		
	ords	.ux 10)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarde
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	-5
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) -5		-5	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarde
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -10		-10	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

There had been an oil spill from a delivery. The spill was cleared up before it entered the drains and a spill kit has been placed in the area. Emission testing was being undertaken with stack 46 (spraybooth) being tested following previous year's results and stack 44 (Banbury) annual due to carbon black. No manual calculation for solvent usage (Condition 3). Machines and ducting maintained and records kept of what is done. Training records (which includes procedures) are kept for staff. Staff has localised EMS system. New spraybooth required some additional extraction.