

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted active	/ity: VIP Polymers Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/2	8	
Discussed with:	Steve Mahoney	LA Reference:	B11/94	4	
nspector's Name:	ector's Name: Dave Bass		14/09/	4/09/2010	
Environmental Impac	t Appraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent En	vironmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	1	Possible Score	s	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10	10		
(B) Category 2		20	20		
(C) Category 3		30	30 30		
Component 2 - Progress wi	th Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Score	Possible Scores Score Awarde		
(A) Upgrading not complete b	out PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet comple	te and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and	meets BAT Requirements	0	0 0		
(D) Emissions control exceed	s BAT Requirements	-10	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity a	and Proximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Sour	се	High M	edium	Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3	4	
(D) >500m*		0	θ	0	

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	42

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores Score Award				
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			71110	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	er incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	t		
Total	(Max. 50)		(0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	canno	t be cle	early
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	\ A	warded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0		0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0		-5
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0		0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0		0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0		0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0		0
Total score		(-5 to 30))		-5
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Pos	ssible Sco	ores	s	cores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	\ Av	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0		0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0		0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0		0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0		0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0		0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0		-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	-1	0

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site is in the process of upgrading a lot of their plant for newer and more efficient plant. As a result
many of the stacks are being made redundant or merging with other stacks. Emissions testing was
being undertaken during inspection. Maintenance/cleaning records kept in H&S officer's room with
HR keeping training records. Site has its own EMS system and is still considering going along the
formal ISO14001 accreditation. Still having delays getting water based coatings agreement