

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	VIP Polymers Ltd	PG Note: 6/28(04)		6/28(04)	
Discussed with:	Alex Chrysanthou	LA Referen	ce:		B11/94
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:16/09/0		16/09/08	
Environmental Impact A	Appraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Enviro					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20			
(C) Category 3		30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with	Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but I	PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete a	and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and med	ets BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds B	AT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and	Proximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	High Medium		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	0		0
combustion, incineration (not cre	lied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & emation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc sured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores		Δ	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems i contributor	n the local area to which process is a potential	10			
(B) No such air pollution problem	ns	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental	Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	to 70		42

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Range 0 to 70

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action 10 per in		er incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
Criterion		ssible Sco	ores	Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awardad	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	9	-5	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) -		-5		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Cuitarian		ssible Sco	ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total		_	_	т-	

-10

Range -10 to 105

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site undertakes a staged approach for annual extractive monitoring so every stack gets monitored
once every four years (therefore reduced from annual testing). Regular monitoring by staff of
emissions and personal exposure plus planned maintenance and cleaning (all have records kept)
highlight any potential problems in-between monitoring periods. Site has internal EMS system
which involves replacing old equipment for new more efficient machines including change to water-
based coating, removal of de-greaser and replaced with shot-blasting. Training records kept by
personnel department.