Huntingdonshire
district council

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control
Score Sheet

Name of permitted activity	**Boardcraft Limited**	**PG Note**	**6/2 (04)**
Name of operator	**Bill Chambers**	**LA Reference**	**6/92**
Inspector's Name	**Aaron Morley**	**Date**	**18 Dec 06**

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	**Possible Scores**	**Score Awarded**
(A) Category 1	10	10
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	**Possible Scores**	**Score Awarded**
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	**High**	**Medium**	**Low**
(A) < 100m*	■	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1
(D) >500m*	0	0	0

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	**Score Awarded**
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	**Range 0 to 70**	**30**

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment

300kW Burner
Chippings Conveyed, not blown. Tell Bill what background noise is.

Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	
Total	**(Max. 50)**	**0**

* *Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.*

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?		10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0		0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?		5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?		5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?		5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5		0
Total score	**(-5 to 30)**			**0**

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility

Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?		5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?		5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?		5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5		0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?		5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5		0	0
Total	**(-5 to 25)**			**0**

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Maintenance inspection plates installed following a build-up of small long trimmings (straw-like).