Huntingdonshire

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet

 Name of authorised process
 Hanson Premix
 PG Note
 3/1(04)

 Name of operator
 Peter Blackman
 LA Reference
 4/93

 Inspector's Name
 Aaron Morley
 Date
 23/3/05

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10		

	Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Medium	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m	20		5		
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m	5	3	1		
(D) >500m*	0	0	0		

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22

REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				Scores	
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		9	Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific authorisation condition or of general/residual BATNEEC condition	0 points			0	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	r incident			
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)				
* <u>Unj</u> ustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process	easonab	ole or which	cannot i	be clearly	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
	Possible Scores		res	Score	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Awarde	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?		10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?		0	0	-5	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?		5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?		5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?		5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?		5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)			-5	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Po	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Awarde	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	U	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?		5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?		5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?		5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?		5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?		0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105		5	-10	
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175		5	12	

LOW, MED, HIGH

Low