

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: Hanson Concrete PG Note: PG3/01(12)

Discussed with: Mick Walpole LA Reference: B04/93

Inspector's Name: Claire Braybrook Date: 31/07/2019

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(C) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	0
(E) All relevant notifications forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total score	(0 to 35)			0

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	5	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 30)			-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -5 to 115 (130)			-5

Overall scores	Score given
<i>Operator Performance Appraisal</i>	
Component 1: Compliance Assessment	0
Component 2: Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Component 3: Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

CCTV enables PRV's to be monitored during deliveries. Silo protection system covers high level alarm & over-pressurisation, all of which are tested and checked regularly. Silo Services undertake maintenance & visit 3 – 4 times per year. Last service completed on 29th May 2019. All checks & maintenance logged & seen on site, along with training records. Deliveries limited to 1.5bar & vehicles are either sheeted or product is enclosed. 'Go Plant' road sweeper attends monthly (more if required) & staff clean up daily. Site only stores 4-20mm gravel & sand (damp) externally.

No issues noted during site visit. The company has ISO14001.