

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Straw Products	PG Note:	PG6/26(11)					
Discussed with:		LA Reference:	B03/94					
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	19/04/2016					
Environmental Impact Appraisal								
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential							

Component 1 - Innerent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score warded		
(A) Category 1	10				
(B) Category 2	20			20	
(C) Category 3	30				
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Award				
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0 (0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensitivity of Receptors			ors	
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m	Low	
(A) < 100m [°]	20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [°]	12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3		1	
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		θ	
[*] All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prov Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a facto	or of 4 fo	
Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible S	cores	-	Score varded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		0		
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70 32		32		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)				
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonabl	e or which	cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	[
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	θ	10	Ð	10	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	5	
Total score	(-5 to 30) 15		15		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Orthonian	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	θ	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	θ	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	Φ	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	ф	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	ъ	θ	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) 0		0		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10)5	0	
		(130)			

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	15
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	47

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	47
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MEDIUM

Comments

Last emission test undertaken in September 2014 so no test during 2015-16. Therefore breaching

condition 1(b), 3 and 4.