



Element Materials Technology, Unit 1, North Luton Industrial Estate, Sedgewick Road, Luton, Bedfordshire, LU4 9DT
Your Element Contact: Paul Martin (07827 332 630)
E: paul.martin@element.com

Stack Emissions Testing Report Commissioned by
Sundown Products Ltd

Installation Name & Address

Sundown Products Ltd
Chipping Plant
Station Road
Tilbrook
Huntingdon
PE28 3PA

PPC Permit: B02/06

Stack Reference

S3 - BBF Plant

Dates of the Monitoring Campaign

4th July 2019

Job Reference Number

ELU-0319

Report Written by

Neil Teixeira
Team Leader
MCERTS Level 2
MM 05 583
TE1 TE2 TE3 TE4

Report Approved by

Phil Soley
Deputy Regional Manager
MCERTS Level 2
MM 12 1187
TE1 TE2 TE3 TE4


Report Date

16th September 2019

Version

Version 2

Signature of Report Approver



CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Monitoring Objectives	3
Monitoring Results	4
Monitoring Dates & Times	5
Process Details	6
Monitoring & Analytical Methods	7
Summary of Sampling Deviations	7
Sampling Location	8
Plant Photos / Sample Points	9

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Personnel & List of Equipment

APPENDIX 2 - Raw Data, Sampling Equations & Charts

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of Element's ISO 17025 accreditation.

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Element.

This version of the test report supersedes the previous version of the test report. Please destroy all previous versions to ensure no confusion arises from having multiple test reports in existence.

Executive Summary

(Page 1 of 7)

MONITORING OBJECTIVES

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook
S3 - BBF Plant
4th July 2019

Overall Aim of the Monitoring Campaign

Element were commissioned by Sundown Products Ltd to carry out stack emissions testing on the S3 - BBF Plant at Tilbrook.

The aim of the monitoring campaign was to demonstrate compliance with a set of emission limit values (ELVs) as specified in the Site's Permit.

Special Requirements

There were no special requirements.

Target Parameters

Total Particulate Matter

Executive Summary

(Page 2 of 7)

MONITORING RESULTS

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook

S3 - BBF Plant

4th July 2019

where MU = Measurement Uncertainty associated with the Result

Parameter	Concentration				Mass Emission			
	Units	Result	MU +/-	Limit	Units	Result	MU +/-	Limit
Total Particulate Matter ¹	mg/m ³	0.74	0.59	20	g/hr	8.3	6.7	-
Water Vapour	% v/v	3.0	0.15					
Stack Gas Temperature	°C	44.0						
Stack Gas Velocity	m/s	18.5	0.43					
Volumetric Flow Rate (ACTUAL)	m ³ /hr	13100	668					
Volumetric Flow Rate (REF)	m ³ /hr	11282	575					

NOTE: VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE & VELOCITY DATA TAKEN FROM THE PRELIMINARY VELOCITY TRAVERSE.

¹ Reference Conditions (REF) are: 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content.

Executive Summary

(Page 3 of 7)

MONITORING DATE(S) & TIMES

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook

S3 - BBF Plant

4th July 2019

Parameter		Units	Concentration	Units	Mass Emission	Sampling Date(s)	Sampling Times	Duration mins
Total Particulate Matter	R1	mg/m ³	0.74	g/hr	8.3	04/07/2019	10:34 - 11:06	32
Velocity Traverse	R1					04/07/2019	10:10 - 10:20	

All results are expressed at the respective reference conditions.

Executive Summary

(Page 4 of 7)

PROCESS DETAILS

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook

S3 - BBF Plant

4th July 2019

Standard Operating Conditions

Parameter	Value
Process Status	Operational
Capacity (of 100%) and Tonnes / Hour	-
Continuous or Batch Process	Continuous Batch
Feedstock (if applicable)	Animal Bedding
Abatement System	Cyclone
Abatement System Running Status	Operational
Fuel	N/A
Plume Appearance	None Visible

Executive Summary

(Page 5 of 7)

MONITORING & ANALYTICAL METHODS

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook

S3 - BBF Plant

4th July 2019

Parameter	Monitoring				Analysis				MCERTS Testing	LOD (Average)
	Standard	Technical Procedure	ISO 17025 Testing	Testing Lab	Analytical Procedure	Analytical Technique	ISO 17025 Analysis	Analysis Lab		
Total Particulate Matter	EN 13284-1	CAT-TP-01	Yes	EET	CAT-TP-03	Gravimetric	Yes	EET	Yes	0.17 mg/m ³
Water Vapour	EN 14790	CAT-TP-05	Yes	EET	CAT-TP-05	Gravimetric	Yes	EET	Yes	0.1 % v/v
Velocity & Vol. Flow Rate	EN 16911-1 (MID)	CAT-TP-41	Yes	EET	Pitot Tube and Thermocouple				Yes	1.7 m/s

ANALYSIS LABORATORIES

(with short name reference as appears in the table above)

Element Stockport (EET)	ISO 17025 Accreditation Number: 4279
-------------------------	--------------------------------------

SUMMARY OF SAMPLING DEVIATIONS

Parameter	Run	Deviation
Total Particulate Matter	1	Only one out of two required sampling lines was available, however the number of sample points used on the available line were increased to the minimum required by the Standard

Executive Summary

(Page 6 of 7)

SUITABILITY OF SAMPLING LOCATION

Duct Characteristics

Parameter	Units	Value
Type	-	Circular
Depth	m	0.50
Width	m	-
Area	m ²	0.20
Port Depth	cm	11
Orientation of Duct	-	Vertical
Number of Ports	-	1
Sample Port Size	-	4" BSP

Location of Sampling Platform

General Platform Information	Value
Permanent / Temporary Platform	Temporary
Inside / Outside	Outside

Platform Details

EA Technical Guidance Note M1 / EN 15259 Platform Requirements	Value
Sufficient working area to manipulate probe and operate the measuring instruments	No
Platform has 2 levels of handrails (approx. 0.5m & 1.0m high)	Yes
Platform has vertical base boards (approx. 0.25m high)	Yes
Platform has chains / self closing gates at top of ladders	Yes
There are no obstructions present which hamper insertion of sampling equipment	No
Safe Access Available	Yes
Easy Access Available	Yes

Sampling Location / Platform Improvement Recommendations

All platforms should be designed in accordance with the requirements in the Environment Agency's Technical Guidance Note M1 and EN 15259.

EN 15259 Homogeneity Test Requirements

There is no requirement to perform a EN 15259 Homogeneity Test on this Stack.

Sampling Plane Validation Criteria (from EN 15259)

Criteria in EN 15259	Units	Traverse 1	Required	Compliant
Lowest Differential Pressure	Pa	186.0	> 5 Pa	Yes
Mean Velocity	m/s	18.53	-	-
Lowest Gas Velocity	m/s	18.31	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	m/s	18.65	-	-
Ratio of Above	: 1	1.02	< 3 : 1	Yes
Maximum Angle of Swirl	°	7.00	< 15°	Yes
No Local Negative Flow	-	Yes	-	Yes

Executive Summary

(Page 7 of 7)

PLANT PHOTOS


Photo 1



Photo 2



SAMPLE POINTS



- where
- = isokinetic point sampled at
 - = isokinetic point not sampled at
 - = combustion gases sample point
 - = non-isokinetic sample point

APPENDICES

APPENDIX CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1 - Stack Emissions Monitoring Personnel, List of Equipment & Methods and Technical Procedures Used

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

APPENDIX 1

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING PERSONNEL

Position	Name	MCERTS Accreditation	MCERTS Number	Technical Endorsements
Team Leader	Neil Teixeira	MCERTS Level 2	MM 05 583	TE1 TE2 TE3 TE4
Trainee	Dan Croxford	MCERTS Trainee	MM 19 1539	None

LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Extractive Sampling		Instrumental Analysers		Miscellaneous Items	
Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.	Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.	Equipment Type	Equipment I.D.
Control Box DGM (1)	CAT 7.101	Horiba PG-250	-	Digital Manometer (1)	CAT 3.232
Control Box DGM (2)	-	Horiba PG-250	-	Digital Manometer (2)	-
Box Thermocouples (1)	CAT 3.205	Servomex 4900	-	Digital Temperature Meter	CAT 3.232
Box Thermocouples (2)	-	Eco Physics CLD 822Mh	-	Stopwatch	CAT 14.53
Umbilical (1)	CAT 3.205	ABB AO2020-URAS26	-	Barometer	CAT 13.51
Umbilical (2)	-	Servomex 5200MP	-	Stack Thermocouple (1)	CAT 4.789
Oven Box (1)	-	JCT JCC P1 Cooler	-	Stack Thermocouple (2)	-
Oven Box (2)	-	Gasmet DX4000	-	Stack Thermocouple (3)	-
Heated Probe (1)	CAT 5.105	Gasmet Sampling System	-	2m Heated Line (1) (P&G)	-
Heated Probe (2)	-	Bernath 3006 FID	-	1m Heated Line (2)	-
Heated Probe (3)	-	M&C PSS	-	1m Heated Line (3)	-
S-Pitot (1)	CAT 21p166	Mass Flow Controller (1)	-	5m Heated Line (1)	-
S-Pitot (2)	CAT 21S.68	Mass Flow Controller (2)	-	10m Heated Line (1)	-
L-Pitot	-	Mass View (1)	-	20m Heated Line (1)	-
Site Balance	CAT 17.59	Mass View (2)	-	20m Heated Line (2)	-
500g / 1Kg Check Weights	CAT 17.59	Hioki 5043 (V)	-	Dual Channel Heater Controller	-
Last Impinger Arm	-	Hioki 5043 (V)	-	Single Channel Heater Controller	-
Callipers	-	Bioaerosols Temperature Logger	-	Laboratory Balance	CAT 1.18, 1.18a, 1.18b
Tubes Kit Thermocouple	-	Electronic Refrigerator	-	Tape Measure	CAT 16.55

METHODS & TECHNICAL PROCEDURES USED

Parameter	Standard	Technical Procedure
Total Particulate Matter	EN 13284-1	CAT-TP-01
Water Vapour	EN 14790	CAT-TP-05
Velocity & Vol. Flow Rate	EN 16911-1 (MID)	CAT-TP-41

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: CALCULATIONS

General Stack Details

Stack Details (from Traverse)	Units	Value
Stack Diameter / Depth, D	m	0.50
Stack Width, W	m	-
Stack Area, A	m ²	0.20
Average Stack Gas Temperature, T _a	°C	44.0
Average Stack Gas Pressure	Pa	190.5
Average Stack Static Pressure, P _{static}	kPa	0.105
Average Barometric Pressure, P _b	kPa	101.2
Average Pitot Tube Calibration Coefficient, C _p	-	1.00

Stack Gas Composition & Molecular Weights

Component	Conc ppm	Conc Dry % v/v	Conc Wet % v/v	Volume Fraction r	Molar Mass M	Density kg/m ³ p	Conc kg/m ³ p _i
CO ₂ (Estimated)	-	0.06	0.06	0.0006	44.01	1.9635	0.00118
O ₂ (Estimated)	-	20.80	20.19	0.2080	32.00	1.4277	0.29696
N ₂	-	79.14	76.80	0.7914	28.01	1.2498	0.98913
Moisture (H ₂ O)	-	-	2.95	0.0295	18.02	0.8037	0.02374

Where: $p = M / 22.41$

$p_i = r \times p$

Calculation of Stack Gas Densities

Determinand	Units	Result
Dry Density (STP), P _{STD}	kg/m ³	1.287
Wet Density (STP), P _{STW}	kg/m ³	1.273
Dry Density (Actual), P _{Actual}	kg/m ³	1.109
Average Wet Density (Actual), P _{ActualW}	kg/m ³	1.096

Where: P_{STD} = sum of component concentrations, kg/m³ (not including water vapour)

P_{STW} = sum of all wet concentrations / 100 x density, kg/m³ (including water vapour)

$P_{Actual} = P_{STD} \times (T_{STP} / (P_{STP})) \times ((P_{static} + P_b) / T_a)$

$P_{ActualW}$ (at each sampling point) = P_{STW} x (T_s / P_s) x (P_a / T_a)

Calculation of Stack Gas Volumetric Flowrate, Q

Duct gas flow conditions	Units	Actual	REF ¹
Temperature	°C	44.0	0.0
Total Pressure	kPa	101.3	101.3
Moisture	%	2.95	2.95

Gas Volumetric Flowrate (from Traverse)	Units	Result
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (Actual)	m ³ /hr	13100
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Wet)	m ³ /hr	11282
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Dry)	m ³ /hr	10949
Gas Volumetric Flowrate REF ¹	m ³ /hr	11282

APPENDIX 2

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: VELOCITY TRAVERSE TO EN 16911-1 (MID)

(1 of 1)

Parameter	Units	Value
Date of Survey	-	04/07/2019
Time of Survey	-	10:10 - 10:20
Atmospheric Pressure	kPa	101.2
Average Stack Static Pressure	Pa	105
Result of Pitot Stagnation Test	-	NOT REQUIRED
Are Water Droplets Present?	-	No
Device Used	L-Type Pitot with KIMO MP 210 (500Pa)	

Parameter	Units	Value
Initial Pitot Leak Check	-	Pass
Final Pitot Leak Check	-	Pass
Orientation of Duct	-	Vertical
Pitot Tube, C_p	-	1.00
Number of Lines Available	-	1
Number of Lines Used	-	1

Sampling Line A						
Traverse Point	Depth m	ΔP Pa	Temp °C	Wet Density kg/m ³	Velocity m/s	Swirl °
STATIC (Units: Pa)		105.0				
Mean		190.5	44.0	1.096	18.53	
1	0.03	193.0	44.0	1.096	18.65	6.0
2	0.13	186.0	44.0	1.096	18.31	7.0
3	0.38	193.0	44.0	1.096	18.65	6.0
4	0.47	190.0	44.0	1.096	18.51	5.0

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY: VELOCITY TRAVERSE TO EN 16911-1 (MID) - MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

(1 of 1)

Performance characteristics (Uncertainty Components)	Uncertainty	Value	Units
Standard Uncertainty on the coefficient of the Pitot Tube	$u(k)$	0.005	-
Standard Uncertainty associated with the mean local dynamic pressures	$u(\Delta p_i)$	4.311	Pa
- Resolution	$u(res)$	0.00087	
- Calibration	$u(cal)$	3.779	
- Drift	$u(drift)$	0.083	
- Lack of Fit	$u(fit)$	13.724	
- Overall corrections to dynamic measurements	$u(C_f)$	17.587	
Standard uncertainty associated with the molar mass of the gas	$u(M)$	0.00003	-
- $\phi O_2, w$	-	20.186	
- $\phi CO_2, w$	-	0.058	
- Oxygen, dry	$u(\phi O_2, d)$	0.637	
- Carbon Dioxide, dry	$u(\phi CO_2, d)$	0.002	
- Water Vapour	$u(\phi H_2O)$	0.151	
- Oxygen, wet	$u(\phi O_2, w)$	0.619	
- Carbon Dioxide, wet	$u(\phi CO_2, w)$	0.002	
Standard uncertainty associated with the stack temperature	$u(T_c)$	1.617	K
Standard uncertainty associated with the absolute pressure in the duct	$u(p_c)$	175.745	Pa
- Atmospheric Pressure	$u(p_{atm})$	175.692	
- Static Pressure	$u(p_{stat})$	4.311	
Standard uncertainty associated with the density in the duct	$u(\rho)$	0.00591	-
Standard uncertainty associated with the local velocities	$u(v_i)$	0.287	Pa
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity	$u(\bar{v})$	0.222	m/s
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity (95% Confidence)	$U_c(v)$	0.435	m/s
Standard uncertainty associated with the mean velocity (95% Confidence), relative	$U_{c,rel}(v)$	2.35	%
Standard uncertainty associated with the volume flow rate (95% Confidence)	$U_c(qV, w)$	667.9	m ³ /hr
- $u^2(a)/a^2$	-	0.00053	
- $u^2(qV, w)/q^2V, w$	-	0.00068	
- $u^2(qV, w)$	-	116126	
- $u(qV, w)$	-	340.8	
Standard uncertainty associated with the volume flow rate (95% Confidence), relative	$U_{c,rel}(qV, w)$	5.10	%

APPENDIX 2

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: RESULTS SUMMARY

Sundown Products Ltd, Tilbrook
S3 - BBF Plant

Sample Runs

Parameter	Units	Run 1		Mean
Concentration	mg/m ³	0.74		0.74
Uncertainty	±mg/m ³	0.59		0.59
Mass Emission	g/hr	8.3		8.3
Uncertainty	±g/hr	6.7		6.7

Parameter	Units	Run 1		Mean
Water Vapour	% v/v	3.0		3.0
Uncertainty	±% v/v	0.15		0.15

Blank Runs

Parameter	Units	Blank 1		Maximum
Concentration	mg/m ³	0.43		0.43

General Sampling Information

Parameter	Value
Standard	EN 13284-1
Technical Procedure	CAT-TP-01
Probe Material	Titanium
Filter Housing Material	Titanium
Positioning of Filter	In Stack
Filter Size and Material	47mm Glass Fibre
Number of Sampling Lines Used	1 / 2
Number of Sampling Points Used	4 / 4
Sample Point I.D.'s	A1 - A4

FORMAT: Number Used / Number Required

FORMAT: Number Used / Number Required

Reference Conditions

Reference Conditions are: 273K, 101.3kPa, without correction for water vapour content.

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: ISOKINETIC SAMPLING CALCULATIONS

Test	Units	Run 1	
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s			
Barometric pressure, P _b	mmHg	759.0	
Stack static pressure, P _{static}	mmH ₂ O	10.7	
$P_s = (P_b + (P_{static} / 13.6))$	mmHg	759.8	
Volume of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}			
Total mass collected in impingers (liquid trap)	g	17.6	
Total mass collected in impingers (silica trap)	g	5.7	
Total mass of liquid collected, V _{lc}	g	23.3	
$V_{wstd} = (0.001246)(V_{lc})$	m ³	0.0290	
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}			
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V _m	m ³	1.0190	
Gas meter correction factor, Y _d	-	1.0290	
Average dry gas meter temperature, T _m	°C	29.9	
Average pressure drop across orifice, ΔH	mmH ₂ O	107.9	
$V_{mstd} = ((0.3592)(V_m)(P_b + (\Delta H/13.6))(Y_d)) / (T_m + 273)$	m ³	0.9537	
Moisture content, B_{w0} & R_{wv}			
$B_{w0} = V_{wstd} / (V_{mstd} + V_{wstd})$	m ³	0.0295	
B _{w0} as a percentage	% v/v	2.95	
Reported Water Vapour, checked with Tables in EN 14790, R _{wv}	% v/v	2.95	
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}			
$V_{mstw} = (V_{mstd})(100/(100 - R_{wv}))$	m ³	0.9828	
Volume of gas metered at Oxygen Reference Conditions, V_{mstd@X%O₂} & V_{mstw@X%O₂}			
IED & Incinerates Hazardous Material? (Yes = no positive O ₂ correction)	-	No	
% wet oxygen measured in gas stream, ACT%O _{2w}	% v/v	N/A	
% dry oxygen measured in gas stream, ACT%O _{2d}	% v/v	N/A	
% oxygen reference condition, REF%O ₂	% v/v	N/A	
O ₂ Reference Factor wet (O _{2REFw}) = (21 - REF%O ₂) / (21 - ACT%O _{2w})	-	N/A	
O ₂ Reference Factor dry (O _{2REFd}) = (21 - REF%O ₂) / (21 - ACT%O _{2d})	-	N/A	
$V_{mstw@X\%oxygen} = (V_{mstw}) / (O_{2REFw})$	m ³	N/A	
$V_{mstd@X\%oxygen} = (V_{mstd}) / (O_{2REFd})$	m ³	N/A	
Molecular weight of dry gas stream, M_d			
CO ₂ (Estimated)	% v/v	0.06	
O ₂ (Estimated)	% v/v	20.80	
Total	% v/v	20.86	
N ₂	% v/v	79.14	
$M_d = 0.44(\%CO_2) + 0.32(\%O_2) + 0.28(\%N_2)$	g/gmol	28.84	
Molecular weight of stack gas (wet), M_s			
$M_s = M_d(1 - (R_{wv}/100)) + 18(R_{wv}/100)$	g/gmol	28.52	
Velocity of stack gas, V_s			
Pitot tube velocity constant, K _p	-	34.97	
Velocity pressure coefficient, C _p	-	0.83	
Average of velocity heads, ΔP _{avg}	mmH ₂ O	32.00	
Average square root of velocity heads, √ΔP	√mmH ₂ O	5.66	
Average stack gas temperature, T _s	°C	43.0	
$V_s = ((K_p)(C_p)(\sqrt{\Delta P})(\sqrt{T_s + 273})) / (\sqrt{M_s}(P_s))$	m/s	19.76	
Total flow of stack gas: Actual (Q_a), Wet (Q_{stw}), Dry (Q_{std}), Wet@O_{2REF} (Q_{stwO₂}), Dry@O_{2REF} (Q_{stdO₂})			
Area of stack, A _s	m ²	0.20	
$Q_a = (60)(A_s)(V_s)$	m ³ /min	232.8	
Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg), C _f	-	0.3592	
$Q_{stw} = ((Q_a)(P_s)(C_f)) / ((T_s) + 273)$	m ³ /min	201.0	
$Q_{std} = ((Q_a)(P_s)(C_f)(1 - (R_{wv}/100))) / ((T_s) + 273)$	m ³ /min	195.1	
$Q_{stwO_2} = ((Q_a)(P_s)(C_f)) / ((T_s) + 273) / (O_{2REFw})$	m ³ /min	N/A	
$Q_{stdO_2} = ((Q_a)(P_s)(C_f)(1 - (R_{wv}/100))) / ((T_s) + 273) / (O_{2REFd})$	m ³ /min	N/A	
Percent isokinetic, %I			
Nozzle diameter, D _n	mm	6.01	
Nozzle area, A _n	mm ²	28.37	
Total sampling time, q	min	32	
$\%I = (4.6398E^6)(T_s + 273)(V_{mstd}) / (P_s)(V_s)(A_n)(q)(1 - (R_{wv}/100))$	%	105.7	

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: SAMPLING DETAILS

Sample Runs

Parameter	Units	Run 1
Sampling Times	-	10:34 - 11:06
Sampling Dates	-	04/07/2019
Sampling Device	-	ISO
Volume Sampled (REF)	m ³	0.9828
Filter I.D. Number	-	47-62847
Start Filter Mass	g	0.14530
End Filter Mass	g	0.14544
Total Mass on Filter	g	0.00014
Probe Rinse I.D. Number	-	PR-47-62847
Start Probe Rinse Mass	g	2.94606
End Probe Rinse Mass	g	2.94665
Total Mass in Probe Rinse	g	0.00059
Total Mass Collected	mg	0.73
Calculated Concentration	mg/m ³	0.74
Balance Uncertainty / LOD	mg/m ³	0.17

Where: ISO stands for Manual Isokinetic Sampling Train

Blank Runs

Parameter	Units	Blank 1
Blank Dates	-	04/07/2019
Average Volume Sampled (REF)	m ³	0.9828
Filter I.D. Number	-	47-62848
Start Filter Mass	g	0.14530
End Filter Mass	g	0.14537
Total Mass on Filter	g	0.00007
Probe Rinse I.D. Number	-	PR-47-62848
Start Probe Rinse Mass	g	2.92136
End Probe Rinse Mass	g	2.92171
Total Mass in Probe Rinse	g	0.00035
Total Mass Collected	mg	0.42
Calculated Concentration	mg/m ³	0.43
Balance Uncertainty / LOD	mg/m ³	0.17

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: QUALITY ASSURANCE

(PAGE 1 OF 2)

Sample Runs

Leak Test Results	Units	Run 1	
Mean Sampling Rate	l/min	32.8	
Pre-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.20	
Post-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min		
Allowable Leak Rate	l/min	0.36	
Leak Test Acceptable	-	Yes	
Water Droplets	Units	Run 1	
Are Water Droplets Present	-	No	
MU (Concurrent Water Vapour)	Units	Run 1	
Measurement Uncertainty (MU)	%	5.1	
Allowable MU	%	20.0	
MU Acceptable	%	Yes	
Silica Gel (Concurrent Water Vapour)	Units	Run 1	
Less than 50% Faded	%	Yes	
Isokinetic Criterion Compliance	Units	Run 1	
Isokinetic Variation	%	105.7	
Allowable Isokinetic Range	%	95 - 115	
Isokineticity Acceptable	-	Yes	
Weighing Uncertainty Criteria	Units	Run 1	
Overall Weighing Uncertainty	± mg	0.32	
Overall Weighing Uncertainty	± mg/m ³	0.33	
ELV [Daily ELV for IED]	mg/m ³	20.00	
Allowable Weighing Uncertainty	mg/m ³	1.00	
Weighing Uncertainty Acceptable	-	Yes	
Filter Temperatures	Units	Run 1	
Pre-Conditioning Temperature	°C	180	
Post-Conditioning Temperature	°C	160	
Maximum Filter Temperature	°C	44	
Test Conditions	Units	Run 1	
Ambient Temperature Recorded?	-	Yes	

APPENDIX 2

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: QUALITY ASSURANCE

(PAGE 2 OF 2)

Blank Runs

Leak Test Results	Units	Blank 1	
Expected Sampling Rate	l/min	19.0	
Pre-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min	0.20	
Post-Sampling Leak Rate	l/min		
Allowable Leak Rate	l/min	0.38	
Leak Test Acceptable	-	Yes	

Validity of Blank vs ELV	Units	Blank 1	
Allowable Blank	mg/m ³	2.0	
Blank Acceptable	-	Yes	

Acetone / Water Rinse Blank	Units	Blank
Acetone / Water Rinse Value	mg/l	2.7
Allowable Blank	mg/l	10
Blank Acceptable	-	Yes

Method Deviations

Nature of Deviation	Run Number
(x = deviation applies to the associated run, wx = deviation also applies to the concurrent water vapour run)	1
Only one out of two required sampling lines was available, however the number of sample points used on the available line were increased to the minimum required by the Standard	x

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS

Measured Quantities	Value		Standard uncertainty		
	Symbol	Run 1	Symbol	Units	Run 1
Sampled Volume (Actual)	V _m	1.0190	uV _m	m ³	0.0204
Sampled Gas Temperature	T _m	302.9	uT _m	K	2.0
Sampled Gas Pressure	p _m	101.3	up _m	kPa	0.5
Sampled Gas Humidity	H _m	0.0	uH _m	% v/v	1.0
Leak	L	0.61	uL	%	-
Mass of Particulate	m	0.73	um	mg	0.17
Uncollected Mass	UCM	0.42	uUCM	mg	-

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty as a Percentage		Requirement of Standard
	Units	Run 1	
Sampled Volume (Actual)	%	2.00	≤2%
Sampled Gas Temperature	%	0.66	≤1%
Sampled Gas Pressure	%	0.49	≤1%
Sampled Gas Humidity	%	1.00	≤1%
Leak	%	0.61	≤2%
Mass of Particulate	%	0.86	<5% of ELV
Uncollected Mass	%	-	-

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty in Measurement Units			Sensitivity Coefficient	
	Symbol	Units	Run 1	Run 1	
Sampled Volume (STP)	V _m	m ³	0.9537	0.78	
Leak	L	mg/m ³	0.003	1.00	
Mass of Particulate	L _r	mg	0.727	1.02	
Uncollected Mass	UCM	mg	0.24	1.02	

Measured Quantities	Uncertainty in Result	
	Units	Run 1
Sampled Volume (STP)	mg/m ³	0.018
Leak	mg/m ³	0.0026
Mass of Particulate	mg/m ³	0.1730
Uncollected Mass	mg/m ³	0.2467

Measured Quantities	Oxygen Correction Part of MU Budget	
	Units	Run 1
O ₂ Correction Factor	-	N/A
Stack Gas O ₂ Content	% v/v	N/A
MU for O ₂ Correction	-	N/A
Overall MU For O ₂ Measurement	%	N/A

Parameter	Units	Run 1
Combined uncertainty	mg/m ³	0.30
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), without Oxygen Correction	mg/m ³	0.59
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), with Oxygen Correction	mg/m ³	N/A
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), estimated with Method Deviations	mg/m ³	0.59
Reported Uncertainty	mg/m ³	0.59
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), without Oxygen Correction	%	80.0
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), with Oxygen Correction	%	N/A
Expanded uncertainty (95% confidence), estimated with Method Deviations	%	80.0
Reported Uncertainty	%	80.0