

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Straw Products	PG Note:	PG6/26(11)
Discussed with:	Jo Doyle and Simon Stock	_LA Reference:	B03/94
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	16/11/2016

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	a Scarae		Score warded
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			20
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0 (0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediur	n	Low
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	0		θ

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	t	
Total	(Max. 55)		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	·	Max 80)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total score		(-5 to 45)		0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Critorian	Possible Scores Score		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total		(-5 to 25)		0
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	_	-10 to 10 (150))5	0

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Company has initiated a new cleaning/monitoring regime which should reduce the build-up of
material and consequently the escape of dust and fire hazard. Slight changes to the silo system to
change them to individual silos rather than a linked system. There have been changes to the
maintenance system so it is logged as preventative or reactive maintenance. This allows the
company to assess downtime more accurately. Some changes to personnel on site. Company is
going through the ISO14001 system. 2016-17 Emission test not currently undertaken.