

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: St Ives Asphalt Plant PG Note: PG3/15

Discussed with: John Wilson LA Reference: B02/97

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 11/02/2016

Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 1 - Compliance Assessment | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----|-----|----------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition | 0 points | | | |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint* | 5 per incident | | | |
| (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action | 10 per incident | | | |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution | 15 per incident | | | |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per incident | | | |
| Total | (Max. 50) | | | 0 |
| Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records | | | | |
| Criterion | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| | Yes | No | N/A | |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit? | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (C) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (E) All relevant notifications forwarded to the authority by date required? | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total score | (0 to 35) | | | 0 |

| Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----|-----|----------------|
| Criterion | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| | Yes | No | N/A | |
| (A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 | 5 | 0 | -5 |
| Total | (-5 to 30) | | | -5 |
| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal | Range -5 to 115 (130) | | | -5 |

| Overall scores | Score given |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Operator Performance Appraisal</i> | |
| Component 1: Compliance Assessment | 0 |
| Component 2: Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records | 0 |
| Component 3: Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | -5 |
| Total score | -5 |

| | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|
| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS | Range -10 to 175 (200) | -5 |
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40 | LOW, MED, HIGH | LOW |

Comments

Plant re-opened following period of mothballed. Extensive works undertake to get it running properly. Emission test undertaken at start of year showed meeting emission limit. Plant not working very many hours at moment due to lack of demand.