

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Greener Surfacing Limited	PG Note:	PG3/15a & PG3/16	
Discussed with:	Neil and Nick	LA Reference:	B16/13	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	05/03/14	

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint *	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreallinked to an incident at the process.	sonable o	r which ca	annot be	clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C	Operating	Levels		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the	25			
acceptance letter		23		
acceptance letter Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		/ax 75)		
acceptance letter Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)				
acceptance letter Total (applies only when condition F has been breached) Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco	ords		pres	Scores
acceptance letter Total (applies only when condition F has been breached) Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco	ords	Max 75)	ores N/A	Scores Awarde
acceptance letter  Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)  Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco	ords Pos	Max 75)	1	
Criterion  (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?  (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent	Pos Yes	Max 75) sible Sco	N/A	Awarde
Criterion  (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?  (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	Pos Yes	Max 75) sible Sco No 40	N/A 0	Awarde 0
Criterion  (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit? (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? (C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request? (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with	Pos Yes 0	Max 75) ssible Sco No 40	N/A 0 0	Awarde 0 0
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)  Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco  Criterion  (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit?  Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?  (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?  (C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request?  (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	Pos Yes 0	No 40 5	N/A 0 0 0	Awarde 0 0
	Pos Yes  0 0	Max 75)  Sible Sco  No  40  5	N/A 0 0 θ	Awarde  0  0  0  0  0

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Outtonion	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal  Range -10 to 110 (135)		10	-10	

Overall scores	Score given	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibili	-5	
Total score	-10	
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	-10
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Visit following complaint. Watched the end of 1 run, two full runs and the start of a 4<sup>th</sup> run. The emissions are greatest at the end of the run when the plant is tipping out the product. Odours could be noticed at approx. 105m away when tipping and 60m during normal production when directly downwind. Emission test is waiting for an extension of the stack to be produced to ensure compliance with testing standards. Operator has ordered a screener which will reduce emissions by taking out the fines. Operator is also sourcing a crusher to ensure that material is of a required size for product request, again this should help with emissions.