

Range 0 to 70

22

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Hanson Premix	PG Note: PG3/01					
Discussed with:	Mr Dave Page	A Reference: B04/93					
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Dat	Date: 20/09/2012				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal						
Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential							
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score warded			
(A) Category 1		10		10			
(B) Category 2		20					
(C) Category 3		30					
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading						
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5					
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10					
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0			
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	-10					
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors						
		Sensitivity of Receptors					
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low		
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5		
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3		
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4		
(D) >500m*		Ф	0		0		
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for		
Component 4 - Other Targets							
		Possible Scores			Score warded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10					
(B) No such air pollution problems		0		0			

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition					
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		ıt		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Scores Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130)		17		

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5	
Total score	17	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Current change of contact details to Dave Page. Daily checks and maintenance being undertaken
and recorded. Company currently changing storage of files to full electronic. Delivery of material
(not sand) being undertaken and a couple of cement deliveries and no evidence of emissions. Top
of storage areas are free from excessive accumulations. Company has ISO14001. Possible
replacement of silo being planned.