

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Hanson Premix	PG Note:	PG3/01
Discussed with:	Peter Blackman	LA Reference:	B04/93
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass/ Janette Brettall	Date:	21/06/2011

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible S	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10	10		10	
(B) Category 2	20				
(C) Category 3	<del>30</del>				
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensi	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>°</sup>	20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>°</sup>	12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m	5	3		4	
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		θ	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal p Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the s	rocesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 i	
Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible S	cores	А	Score warded	

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22

## Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance				
source of non-compliance	Dossible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		:	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonabl	e or which	cannot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	-			
Criterion		ssible Sco		Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	Ð	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	Φ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Critorian	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	05	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Change of contact details (Andrew Loakes, Wellingborough Premix). Daily checks and

maintenance being carried out and recorded. Witnessed delivery of sand and loading of cement

with no visible emissions of dust. The top of the silo's & storage area and the yard was clear from

excessive accumulations likely to cause dust problems. No significant changes planned to the site.

Company still has ISO14001 accreditation.