

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Enval Plant - Alconbury Installation	PG Note:	
Discussed with:	Mr Frank Hartsema	LA Reference:	A17/12
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date:	13/11/2018

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		4	Score warded
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			20
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m ⁻	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [°]	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [°]	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ θ		θ
[*] All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 i
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a	10			

	Possible Scores	Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	23

Operator Performance Appraisal

condition or of general/residual BAT condition		points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 p	er inciden	t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(N	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	Ð	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	Ð	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	10	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
Chterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0	
	(-5 to 25) 0		0		
Total		(,		-	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	3
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	23

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	23
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

The site continues to be in the commissioning stage. Emission testing will be completed when

there is sufficient throughput. A new feed line has been installed, and the operator is currently

awaiting a new gas valve. No spillages to report. Maintenance is completed on Mondays.

External storage is contained (wrapped) and the area is regularly litter picked, especially after

deliveries. Procedures, maintenance and training records seen.