

Range 0 to 70

23

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Enval Plant - Alconbury Installation	PG Not	e:		
Discussed with:	Mr Frank Hartsema	LA Referenc	e: <u>A1</u>	7/12	2
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 22/03/2017			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible So	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached		5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements -10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	θ		0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	cesses.	and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible So	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0 0		0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
ale of Non-Compliance Possible Scores			es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 55)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrilinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	canno	t be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	\$	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
onenon	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	1 5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	Ф	10	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	Đ	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	Ф	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	θ	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	θ	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25) 0		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 1205 (150) 0		0	

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	3	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0	
Total score	23	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	23
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Plant is still being commissioned. The front end system needs updating to reduce the amount of O2 being drawn in the system. They are looking at changing some tanks to help with cleaning of particulates and changing the system so fans aren't required. The gas generator was briefly tested and results indicate below emission limits, however, there are issues with the system (cleaning of the gas sufficiently). Currently they are looking into linking the plant with a steam boiler to generate electricity (although unlikely to happen at this site). Only 2 spillages on site which did not escape off site and cleaned up. Company still keeping daily records of production, energy usage etc. to assess how the plant is progressing.