

Range 0 to 70

23

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Enval Plant - Alconbury Installation	PG Not	e:		
Discussed with:	Mr Frank Hartsema	LA Reference: A17/12  Date: 17/03/2015		7/12	1
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass			2015	
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading	•			
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensiti	vity of R	Recep	tors
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		<del>5</del>
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Non-Compliance Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	rmit 0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrillinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			<u> </u>
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
		Possible Scores		Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	θ	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	
Total				

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	3
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	23

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	23
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Plant still being commissioned. They are managing to process some material and generate a
sludge/oil and aluminium but due to technical issues (e.g. sparking) they are yet to be able to
produce continuously and not processed enough material to run the generator. Due to intricate
nature of the commissioning there are complete records of maintenance so they can understand
what is/isn't working.