

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	East Anglian Galvanizing Ltd	SG Not	e: SG	3 5	
Discussed with:	Mr Mark Hammond	_ LA Referenc	e: A0	9/09)
Inchestor's Name	Claira Braybrack	 Dat	-		2010
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Dat	Date: 26/06/201		2019
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible So	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10	10		
(B) Category 2		20	20 20		20
(C) Category 3		30	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading	<u> </u>			
Status of Upgrading		Possible So	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors	<u>.</u>			
		Sensiti	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Low		
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crema	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal p red from the process itself, rather than the	rocesses.	and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible So	Possible Scores Score Awarded		

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores Awarded		Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			711141404	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		nt		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	nt		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	nt		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		nt		
Total	(Max. 55)		0		
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduce	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Critorian	Pos	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes No N/A	Awarded			
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	θ	0	
Total score		(-5 to 45))	0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	•				
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total		(-5 to 25))	-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 12 (150)	05	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	20

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Audits required under Condition 16 are currently being completed and will be viewed on site during
the inspection next year as contains confidential information. Emission monitoring indicates
compliance. Preventative maintenance checklist seen. Training records also seen.
Permit review is required but new BREF note is due to be issued so will await that before
completing the review. External company used to check and maintain interceptors and sumps
(condition 34). No issues noted on site. Company have their own EMS system.